

# International Journal of Technical Innovation in Modern Engineering & Science (IJTIMES)

Impact Factor: 5.22 (SJIF-2017), e-ISSN: 2455-2585 Volume 5, Issue 04, April-2019

# CASE STUDY ON BAMBOO HOUSE

Dnyaneshwar shinde<sup>1</sup>, Mayuri Waghmare<sup>2</sup>, Ujwal Bagul<sup>3</sup>, Shriniwas Warkhandakar<sup>4</sup>, Vinita Jawake<sup>5</sup>

- <sup>1</sup>Student of civil engineering, Dr.Babasaheb ambedkar technological university, raigad, Maharashtra, india,
- <sup>2</sup> Student of civil engineering, Dr.Babasaheb ambedkar technological university, raigad, Maharashtra, india,
- <sup>3</sup> Student of civil engineering, Dr.Babasaheb ambedkar technological university, raigad, Maharashtra, india,
- <sup>4</sup> Student of civil engineering, Dr.Babasaheb ambedkar technological university, raigad, Maharashtra, india,
- <sup>5</sup> Student of civil engineering, Dr.Babasaheb ambedkar technological university,raigad,Maharashtra,india,

Abstract- Bamboo is the material with low cost and CO<sub>2</sub> absorber. Bamboo is such a non-conventional material which can be use as replacement for concrete and steel in civil construction. The use of bamboo as construction material for house will give as affordable rates of houses. Use bamboo as alternative for concrete and steel leads to reduce down the CO<sub>2</sub> emission by tremendous amount. Different tests are performed on bamboo to check whether it will give satisfactory performance or not. The result shows that bamboo gives satisfactory tensile strength compare with steel and better compression than concrete. This paper discuss about a case study of house constructed using bamboo. Code of practice used for bamboo are IS 9096:2006 for preservation of bamboo, IS 6874:2008 for method of tests for bamboo, IS 15912:2012 for structural design using bamboo.

Keywords – bamboo, CO<sub>2</sub> emission, low cost seismic performance, codes of practice (IS 9096:2006, IS 6874:2008, IS 15912:2012).

### I. INTRODUCTION

Bamboo is a type of grass having ancient history of making structures for living purposes over presently used conventional building material. Bamboo can grow at a faster rate i.e. reach its maturity within 3-4 years. As its growth rate is more it can be available in abundant quantity. A case study is performed on bamboo structure to look for its advantages and disadvantages as building material than steel and concrete.

### II. STRUCTURAL DETAILS

### A. Bamboo columns:

As column is the main component in the superstructure which transfers the load coming from above directly to the ground. A single bamboo cannot be used as vertical support. For making column, a bunch of bamboo is joined together as supportive member to the above structure. These bamboo are jointed together using nut and bolts by making holes at certain distance.



Fig.1 Column



Fig. 2 Joint in Column

### B. Bamboo beams and trusses:

These are the horizontal member over the column which transfers the loads laterally. They also connects the columns with one another which is helpful to resist the lateral ground motion during earthquake and ensuring the safe position of the columns.



Fig. 3 King's Truss Made from Bamboo

### C. Bamboo walls:

Bamboo walls are constructed by placing bamboo horizontally over one another splitted in half lengthwise. Over which plastering is done from the inside to give it interior designer works.



Fig. 4 Outside View of Bamboo
III. COST COMPARISON

Case study is conducted at bamboo house. Location – At Gimavhane, Dapoli, Maharashtra Plot size – 15\*9 m Bamboo species – *Dendrocalamus Stocksii* 

# International Journal of Technical Innovation in Modern Engineering & Science (IJTIMES) Volume 5, Issue 04, April-2019, e-ISSN: 2455-2585, Impact Factor: 5.22 (SJIF-2017)

The bamboo house on which the case study done consist of a living hall attached to the kitchen with a common toilet. There are three rooms constructed, two are at the ground floor and another one is constructed over the second bedroom.

The foundation is made from the laterite rock over which bamboo columns were constructed. A bamboo column is made of a six bamboo joined together as one member by a horizontal section at suitable distances using nut and bolts. Same is done for the beams but instead of using six bamboo as in columns only set of four bamboo were constructed. Walls are also made of bamboo over which plastering is done internally. For the roofing material G.I sheets are used with a overhang to cover the whole area.

TABLE I Estimation for RCC Structure

Estimation for RCC Structure				
	Quantity	Unit	Rate (Rs.)	Amount (Rs.)
		2		
foundation			146	12969.18
Soling	4.76	$m^3$	250	1190
pcc in				
foundation	12.69	$m^3$	4274	54237.06
Brickwork in				
CM (1:6)	47.56	$m^3$	4917	233852.52
DPC	10.18	$m^3$	274	2789.32
plinth filling				
(rubble				
soiling)	47.03		248	11663.44
flooring	117.58	m <sup>2</sup>	799	93946.42
Dado	16.8	$m^2$	964	16195.2
Plastering	500.12	$m^2$	139	69516.68
	500.12	$m^2$	127	63515.24
RCC Slab	3.03	$m^3$	7880	23876.4
RCC Column	2.65	$m^3$	7375	19543.75
RCC Beams	4.698	$m^3$	7542	35432.316
RCC Column				
footing	38.41	$m^3$	4981	191320.21
G.I Roof				
Sheet	254.8	$m^2$	280	71344
			total	901391.736
contingency				
charges	901391.736*1/100		9013.91736	910405.6534
water charges	910405.6534*1/100		9104.056534	919509.7099
contractors				
profit	919509.7099*10/100		91950.97099	1011460.681
_			total cost	1011460.681
	pcc in foundation Brickwork in CM (1:6) DPC plinth filling (rubble soiling) flooring Dado Plastering Painting RCC Slab RCC Column RCC Beams RCC Column footing G.I Roof Sheet  contingency charges water charges contractors	Description of item         Quantity           Excavation in foundation         88.83           Soling pcc in foundation         12.69           Brickwork in CM (1:6)         47.56           DPC plinth filling (rubble soiling)         47.03           flooring plastering         117.58           Dado plastering         500.12           Painting plinting         500.12           RCC Slab plastering         3.03           RCC Column plastering         500.12           RCC Slab plastering         3.03           RCC Column plastering         2.65           RCC Column plastering         38.41           G.I Roof plastering         38.41           G.I Roof plastering         3901391.736*           water charges plastering         901391.736*           water charges plastering         910405.6534*	Description of item         Quantity         Unit           Excavation in foundation         88.83 m³           Soling pcc in foundation         4.76 m³           pcc in foundation         12.69 m³           Brickwork in CM (1:6)         47.56 m³           DPC plinth filling (rubble soiling)         47.03 m³           plooring pdo         117.58 m²           Dado plastering pdo         500.12 m²           Plastering pdo         500.12 m²           RCC Slab pdo         3.03 m³           RCC Column pdo         2.65 m³           RCC Column pdo         38.41 m³           G.I Roof pdo         38.41 m³           Sheet pdo         254.8 m²           contingency charges pdo         901391.736*1/100           water charges pdo         910405.6534*1/100           contractors         910405.6534*1/100	Description of item         Quantity         Unit         Rate (Rs.)           Excavation in foundation         88.83         m³         146           Soling         4.76         m³         250           pcc in foundation         12.69         m³         4274           Brickwork in CM (1:6)         47.56         m³         4917           DPC         10.18         m³         274           plinth filling (rubble soiling)         47.03         m³         248           flooring         117.58         m²         799           Dado         16.8         m²         964           Plastering         500.12         m²         139           Painting         500.12         m²         127           RCC Slab         3.03         m³         7880           RCC Column         2.65         m³         7542           RCC Beams         4.698         m³         7542           RCC Column footing         38.41         m³         4981           G.I Roof Sheet         254.8         m²         280           total         total         contractors         901391.736*1/100         9013.91736           water charges



Fig. 5: case study site

TABE II
Estimation for Bamboo Structure

sr.	Description of			Rate	
no	item	Quantity	unit	(Rs.)	Amount (Rs.)
	Excavation in				
1	foundation	88.83	m <sup>3</sup>	146	12969.18
2	soiling	4.76	$m^3$	250	1190
3	laterite rock	347	nos	35	12145
4	bamboo	3008.4	m	32	96268.8
5	nut & bolts	62	Kg	70	4340
6	plaster	338.25	m <sup>2</sup>	139	47016.75
7	painting	338.25	m <sup>2</sup>	127	42957.75
8	chicken mesh	20.25	$m^2$	1587	32136.75
9	chemical	109.4	lit	200	21880
10	G.I Roof Sheet	254.8	$m^2$	280	71344
				Total	342248.23
	contingency		•	•	
	charges	3422.4823		345670.7123	
	water charges	3456.707123		349127.4194	
	contractors				
	profit	34912.74194			384040.1614
		total cost			384040.1614

cost of bamboo house = 
$$\frac{384040.1614}{1011460.681} \times 100$$
  
= 37.96 %

Cost of RCC house = 100 -37.96 = 62.04 %

From the above estimation of building, cost of Rcc structure is 62 % of the house constructed using bamboo.

# IV. CO<sub>2</sub> EMISSION

TABLE III Carbon Emission in RCC structure:

	Rate of Co <sub>2</sub>	Quantity	Co <sub>2</sub>
1.Cement	866kg/ton	11500kg	10660.5kg
2.Steel	2.89 ton/ton	1802.36Kg	5208.36kg
3.Brick(including Biofill combustion)	195 g/kg	113652.02 kg (no. of bricks× volume × ρ of brick) (46155×0.19×0.09×0.09×1600)	22162.15 kg
		Total =	34879.67 kg of Co <sub>2</sub>

TABLE IV
Carbon Emission for Bamboo Structure:

	Rate	Vol.	Co <sub>2</sub> Emission
Cement	927kg/ton	$1.4\text{m}^3(\text{Plaster}) + 0.84\text{m}^3(\text{slab}) = 2.24\text{m}^3$	2076.48kg

94% of Carbon emission is reduced.

# International Journal of Technical Innovation in Modern Engineering & Science (IJTIMES) Volume 5, Issue 04, April-2019, e-ISSN: 2455-2585, Impact Factor: 5.22 (SJIF-2017)

### V. CONCLUSION

- [1] Bamboo is classified under as a grass but it is used as building material due to its light weight.
- [2] Steel and concrete produces Co<sub>2</sub> but in case of bamboo situation is vice versa it absorbs Co<sub>2</sub> and releases amount of o<sub>2</sub> which is helpful to improve the atmospheric condition.
- [3] It grows at faster rate due which it is available in maximum quantity and as a building material it does not required skilled labors to carry out the work.
- [4] Its requires low initial cost than constructed using conventional building material. Due to its fibrous texture it can be easily bend and sustain heavy loads during seismic activity.
- [5] The case study shows that the house constructed using RCC structure has almost 3 times more value than bamboo house.
- [6] The total carbon emission done by RCC structure is 34879.67Kg of Co<sub>2</sub> while the bamboo house emits only 2076.48 Kg of Co<sub>2</sub>. The bamboo house reduces the Co<sub>2</sub> emission by 94 % than the RCC structure.

### REFERENCES

- [1] Barcelo(2012), "The Cement Industry Roadmap to Reduce Carbon Emissions", L. Barcelo, John Kline, 2012, Proceedings of the 2012 Carbon Management Technology Conference, Orlando (Fl).
- [2] CSI(2009), "Cement Industry Energy and CO2 performance, Getting the Numbers Right", Concrete Sustainability Initiative, 2009, World Business Council for Sustainable Development, ISBN: 978-3-940388-48-3.
- [3] EIA(2007), "Documentation for Emissions of Greenhouse Gases in the United States 2005", Energy Information Administration, DOE/EIA-0638 (2005), October 2007.

#### IS Codes -

- i. IS 9096:2006 for preservation of bamboo.
- ii. IS 6874:2008 for method of tests for bamboo.
- iii. IS 15912:2012 for structural design using bamboo.