Accidental Models - A Review

Ayush Vashisth

Assistant Professor
Department of Civil Engineering
Central University of Haryana
Email:avashisthiitkgp@gmail.com

Abstract:

In this research paper, the models which are related to the accidents modelling analysis were discussed in this paper. The possible way to determine the exact solutions related in context with the road accidents models study to be discussed. As a result of this, few investigators were suggested that the role of model study is quite important to get exact solution in terms of various parameters that are measured in terms of their spatial variations.

Introduction:

Sequential Accident Models

Sequential accident models is used to calculate the accident prone locations as well as to analysis to determine the result of discrete events that may be occur in the temporal change in context with exact solution. This sequential accident model plays a vital role to analysis of various parameters. Other way, according to this theory the major five important factors involves in the accident sequences as given below namely as:

1. Social environment
2. Fault of the person
3. Unsafe acts or conditions
4. Injury
5. Poor planning

The above five major sequential accident models is arranged in a very zig zag fashion such that it fall under the results of the fall of the entire relative system. Thus it also illustrates that the need of present systems were play very efficient role for analysis of given each factor leads to the next with the end result being the injury. The models which were used to analysis the relative output as an input of the black box models which is usually gives the suggested output for a set of different values of input parameter which is usually used to an effective output.

Conclusion:

The following conclusions were drawn from the present study as given below:

1. The models study is parametric system
2. The sensitivity of models can be check by using different variables.

References:

1. Woods D. D., Johannesen L. J., Cook R.I. and Sarter N. B. (1994): Behind Human Error: Cognitive Systems, Computers and Hindsight. SOAR Report 94\-01, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, CSERIAC

2. Woo, D.M. &. Vicente, K.J. (2003): Socio technical systems, risk management, and public health: comparing the North Battleford and Walkerton outbreaks. Reliability Engineering & System Safety, 80:253-269.

3. Vicente, K.J., Mumaw, R.J. & Roth, E.M. (2004): Operator monitoring in a complex dynamic work environment: A qualitative cognitive model based on field observations. Theoretical Issues in Ergonomics Science, 5(5):359-384.

4. Vaughn, D. (1996): The Challenger Launch Decision: Risky Technology, Culture and Deviance at NASA. Chicago, University of Chicago Press

5. Trist, E.L. & Bamforth, K.W. (1951): Some Social and Psychological Consequences of the Longwall Method of Coal-Getting, Human Relations, 4:3-39.

6. Shrivastava, P. (1992): Bhopal: Anatomy of a Crisis. Second Edition, London, Paul Chapman.