

Network Security Implementation using Penetration Testing

Ms. Komal¹

¹ Department of Computer Science, Amity University Haryana, Komal.sang@gmail.com,

Abstract— *There are plethora of tools and techniques utilized by network administrators to ensure better network security including firewalls, Intrusion Detection/ Prevention Systems, Multi-layered Demilitarized zones, proxy servers, traffic analysis tools, logging capabilities etc. Vulnerability assessment still holds a critical role to evaluate the deployed security and suggest mitigation measures for the concerns. Penetration testing is a step further that emulates the attacker actions to completely exploit system services. It generates a snapshot of actual impact on network when the multiple vulnerabilities are exploited. Pen testing has provided a new dimension to network security and its deployment. BackTrack Linux as well as Kali Linux has numerous tools to assist in the process of penetration testing. This paper provides an overview of essentials of penetration testing and password cracking along with experimental results.*

Keywords— *Penetration Testing, Pen Test, BackTrack Linux, Kali Linux, Password Cracking.*

I. INTRODUCTION

The security hazards for organizations, associations, and agencies that work with delicate information are more than obvious. As a rule, these organizations are not ready to comprehend the augmentation of the genuine complex correspondence structures and have only a next to zero control of them. Besides, these dangers are significantly greater when applications that keep running on their processing infra-structures are contemplated. The dangers that are not controlled may expand the magnitude of security assaults that can turn out to be enormous money related misfortunes.

For the most part, security can be ensured by some insurance components: prevention, detection and reaction techniques[1]. Preventive action is the way toward attempting to prevent gatecrashers from accessing the assets of the framework. Detection happens when the attacker has succeeded or is in the procedure of accessing framework. At long last, reaction is an eventual outcome instrument that tries to react to the disappointment of the initial two systems. It works by endeavouring to stop and additionally avert future harm or access to network infrastructure. In any case, evaluating the security state is nonstop and important errands to comprehend the dangers there exist. This evaluating is typically performed through security tests[2]. In this way, the utilization of the correct systems for security testing is a critical assignment to limit the current security hazards in any enterprise [3].

One of the known structures to survey the condition of security and potential security dangers is called penetration test (Pen-test). Pen-test is a controlled provisional to infiltrate into a framework or system with a specific end goal to recognize vulnerabilities. Pen-test applies similar methods that are utilized as a part of a normal assault by a programmer. This option permits that suitable measures are taken keeping in mind the end goal to dispense with the vulnerabilities before they can be investigated by unapproved individuals [4].

The paper is further organized as follows: Section II explains different approaches to penetration testing and their required process/tools. Section III presents implementation results of penetration testing along with password cracking using dictionary /database keywords. Section IV concludes the results and findings.

II. APPROACHES TO PENETRATION TESTING

The procedure to apply penetration testing can be an approach to assess the security level of a framework. The more vigorous the Pen-test is, the deeper is the assessment of the shortcoming/quality of security framework. Penetration testing can be categorized into white box, black box and gray box testing [5]. It can be performed manually, automated or in a combination of manual and automation testing. Tools used by automation testing include NMap, Nessus, Wireshark, Veracode and Metasploit [6]. Following sequence of operations is applied to perform penetration testing[2][7][8]:

The handshake between the device and another device is then captured as shown in Figure 2.

```
Applications ▾ Places ▾ Terminal ▾ Wed 16:40
root@kali: ~
File Edit View Search Terminal Help
CH 1 ][ Elapsed: 8 mins ][ 2016-04-06 16:40 ][ WPA handshake: 2A:ED:6A:A1:30:37
BSSID      PWR RXQ Beacons #Data, #/s CH MB ENC CIPHER AUTH ESSID
2A:ED:6A:A1:30:37 -41 100 4604 11130 0 1 54e WPA2 CCMP PSK Jig's iPhone
BSSID      STATION PWR Rate Lost Frames Probe
2A:ED:6A:A1:30:37 E0:CA:94:4E:74:0D -36 24e- 1 2650 10888
```

Fig. 2 Capturing the Handshake

A script is run and if the password matches with any of the set of strings in the database, the password can be found as shown in Fig. 3.

```
Applications ▾ Places ▾ Terminal ▾ Wed 16:40
root@kali: ~
File Edit View Search Terminal Help
[00:06:03] 434100 keys tested (1177.74 k/s)
Current passphrase: 0215LBdUo
Master Key : 53 D5 1E 4C 70 46 AE F8 62 ED D1 29 DD D4 E2 45
            80 43 41 35 78 B6 A8 5F 18 AB 79 3A EE 5F 50 EB
Transient Key : E5 AB CE 9C 92 AC EC 75 A1 65 DA 2A BE 87 8F BC
                89 FD DB FE 09 5A B3 1E 35 97 37 13 56 E0 68 83
                C1 2B 79 93 74 FA 43 97 15 B8 01 C3 6E 2B 44 6C
                E5 DE 50 6B 3B CE E6 A9 22 61 72 06 53 56 A4 9E
EAPOL HMAC : F2 66 B3 A6 A3 04 F1 49 19 DF 62 41 1D 71 7E 38
```

Fig. 3 Matching the Password against database

After running the script of the database, if the password is found in the database it has been cracked as shown in figure 4.

```
Applications ▾ Places ▾ Terminal ▾ Fri 14:28
root@kali: ~
File Edit View Search Terminal Help
freewifi-01.cap jigs-01.kismet.netxml Videos
freewifi-01.csv jigs-02.cap
root@kali:~# aircrack-ng -w ~/Desktop/fuck.txt jigs-01.cap
Opening jigs-01.cap
Read 85670 packets.
# BSSID ESSID Encryption
1 C4:6E:1F:42:BF:84 Sachin WPA (1 handshake)
Choosing first network as target.
Opening jigs-01.cap
Reading packets, please wait...
Aircrack-ng 1.2 rc3
[00:00:00] 13 keys tested (384.58 k/s)
KEY FOUND! [ prajapati706 ]
Master Key : FB 77 7F 8B 31 70 6A 7B C2 E0 E3 19 0A CD 3A 7C
            D8 1C EE A0 AB B4 AB 7D 93 4C 54 86 8B 3B 9C 3A
Transient Key : B6 C6 A5 F8 96 D3 22 6E C5 B3 32 10 9C E0 88 52
                D6 63 F7 5F 06 11 7A F3 77 93 F6 06 41 F5 F7 E9
                63 E8 66 22 77 03 12 29 67 D0 FB 25 68 D7 15 C9
                CF 2D EC 4F 62 DF 5D 7C 78 7E B3 33 4F DA D1 F9
EAPOL HMAC : B4 6F D5 3B F7 39 84 4B 9C EE 30 45 46 8E AC C6
root@kali:~#
```

Fig.4 Results of Password Cracking

B. Penetration Testing

Vulnerability Scanning was done as part of the penetration test. This was implemented on a Kali Linux platform. A series of open ports, network distance, operating system details, NetBIOS name, workgroup name and authentication level of the system can be captured as in figure 5.

```

msf auxiliary(ipidseq) > db_nmap -sS -A 192.168.0.100
[*] Nmap: Starting Nmap 7.01 ( https://nmap.org ) at 2016-04-08 17:22 IST
[*] Nmap: Nmap scan report for 192.168.0.100
[*] Nmap: Host is up (0.012s latency).
[*] Nmap: Not shown: 991 closed ports
[*] Nmap: PORT      STATE SERVICE      VERSION
[*] Nmap: 135/tcp    open  msrpc       Microsoft Windows RPC
[*] Nmap: 139/tcp    open  netbios-ssn Microsoft Windows 98 netbios-ssn
[*] Nmap: 445/tcp    open  microsoft-ds Microsoft Windows 10 microsoft-ds
[*] Nmap: 2869/tcp   open  http        Microsoft HTTPAPI httpd 2.0 (SSDP/UPnP)
[*] Nmap: 49152/tcp  open  msrpc       Microsoft Windows RPC
[*] Nmap: 49153/tcp  open  msrpc       Microsoft Windows RPC
[*] Nmap: 49154/tcp  open  msrpc       Microsoft Windows RPC
[*] Nmap: 49155/tcp  open  msrpc       Microsoft Windows RPC
[*] Nmap: 49156/tcp  open  msrpc       Microsoft Windows RPC
[*] Nmap: MAC Address: 1c:3e:84:02:69:f3 (Hon Hai Precision Ind.)
[*] Nmap: Device type: general purpose
[*] Nmap: Running: Microsoft Windows 7[2008]8.1
[*] Nmap: OS CPE: cpe:/o:microsoft:windows_7:-: cpe:/o:microsoft:windows_7::sp1 cpe:/o:microsoft:windows_server_2008::sp1 cpe:/o:microsoft:windows_8 cpe:/o:microsoft:windows_8.1
[*] Nmap: OS details: Microsoft Windows 7 SP0 - SP1, Windows Server 2008 SP1, Windows 8, or Windows 8.1 Update 1
[*] Nmap: Network Distance: 1 hop
[*] Nmap: Service Info: OS: Windows, Windows 98, Windows 10; CPE: cpe:/o:microsoft:windows, cpe:/o:microsoft:windows_98, cpe:/o:microsoft:windows_10
[*] Nmap: |_nbstat: NetBIOS name: ROHIT, NetBIOS user: <unknown>, NetBIOS MAC: 1c:3e:84:02:69:f3 (Hon Hai Precision Ind.)
[*] Nmap: |_smb-os-discovery:
[*] Nmap: |   OS: Windows 8 Pro 9200 (Windows 8 Pro 6.2)
[*] Nmap: |   OS CPE: cpe:/o:microsoft:windows_8:-:
[*] Nmap: |   NetBIOS computer name: ROHIT
[*] Nmap: |   Workgroup: WORKGROUP
[*] Nmap: |_ System time: 2016-04-08T18:24:37+01:00
[*] Nmap: |_ smb-security-mode:
[*] Nmap: |   account_used: guest
[*] Nmap: |   authentication_level: user
[*] Nmap: |   challenge_response: supported
[*] Nmap: |_ message_signing: disabled (dangerous, but default)
[*] Nmap: |_ smbv2-enabled: Server supports SMBv2 protocol
[*] Nmap: TRACEROUTE
    
```

Fig. 5 Nmap Results

Figure 6 is a check to show the results from the scan are stored in the database.

```

msf auxiliary(ipidseq) > db_services
[*] Nmap: |_ smbv2-enabled: Server supports SMBv2 protocol
[*] Nmap: TRACEROUTE
[*] Nmap: HOP RTT      ADDRESS
[*] Nmap: 1          11.87 ms 192.168.0.100
[*] Nmap: OS and Service detection performed. Please report any incorrect results at https://nmap.org/submit/ .
[*] Nmap: Nmap done: 1 IP address (1 host up) scanned in 128.41 seconds
msf auxiliary(ipidseq) > db_services
[*] The db_services command is DEPRECATED
[*] Use services instead

Services
-----
host      port  proto name      state info
----
180.150.156.33 53    tcp  domain  open  MikroTik RouterOS named or OpenDNS Updater
180.150.156.33 80    tcp  http     open
180.150.156.33 443   tcp  https   open
180.150.156.33 3128  tcp  squid-http open
180.150.156.33 8080  tcp  http-proxy open
192.168.0.100 135   tcp  msrpc   open  Microsoft Windows RPC
192.168.0.100 139   tcp  netbios-ssn open  Microsoft Windows 98 netbios-ssn
192.168.0.100 445   tcp  microsoft-ds open  Microsoft Windows 10 microsoft-ds
192.168.0.100 2869  tcp  http    open  Microsoft HTTPAPI httpd 2.0 (SSDP/UPnP)
192.168.0.100 49152 tcp  msrpc   open  Microsoft Windows RPC
192.168.0.100 49153 tcp  msrpc   open  Microsoft Windows RPC
192.168.0.100 49154 tcp  msrpc   open  Microsoft Windows RPC
192.168.0.100 49155 tcp  msrpc   open  Microsoft Windows RPC
192.168.0.100 49156 tcp  msrpc   open  Microsoft Windows RPC

msf auxiliary(ipidseq) > search portscan

Matching Modules
-----
Name                                     Disclosure Date  Rank  Description
----
auxiliary/scanner/http/wordpress_pingback_access  normal  Wordpress Pingback Locator
    
```

Fig. 6 Results as shown in Database

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The pertinence of the penetration testing (Pen-test) is clear from the perspective of network and application security. This area has been generally focused by analysts of testing and security, essentially since the quantity of imperfections and vulnerabilities has expanded in the most recent years. This paper concentrated on performing the Penetration testing using nslookup, Nmap, Metasploit tool and password cracking hacks. It was conceivable to reach a few inferences on how some of the unattended issues in network settings and security can be utilized to perform scans, examining, pre-intrusion, hacking and post-attack consequences. From that, the outcomes can assist analyzers with defining, inside their testing extension, better security controls. In this way, a proposed set of suggestions would address the qualities and confinements of the models and furthermore would give an adaptable, dynamic, and numerous exercises decisions, steps, and different viewpoints intrinsic to a penetration testing approach.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Baloch, *Ethical Hacking and Penetration Testing Guide*, CRC Press, 2015.
- [2] G. Weidman, *Penetration Testing: A Hands-on Introduction to Hacking*, No Starch Press, 2014.
- [3] A. Narang, "A review-Cloud and cloud security", *International journal of Computer Science and mobile Computing*, vol. 6, issue 1, pp. 178-181, 2017.
- [4] D.D. Bertoglio, A.F. Zorzo, "Overview and open issues on penetration test", *Journal of Brazilian Computer Society*, pp. 1-16, 2017.
- [5] S Kaushal, J.K. Bajwa, "Analytical Review of User Perceived Testing Techniques", *International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science and Software Engineering*, vol. 2, issue 10, 2012.
- [6] Komal, "Design and Deployment of Secure Enterprise Network Framework", *International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science and Software Engineering*, vol. 7, Issue 5, pp.200-203, 2017.
- [7] Online source, [Available] <https://www.360logica.com/blog/different-methodologies-penetration-testing/>, 2018.
- [8] D. Holdsworth, "Penetration Testing Methodology", <https://medium.com/dvlpr/penetration-testing-methodology-part-1-6-recon-9296c4d07c8a>, 2018.
- [9] S. Martin, and M. Tokutomi, "Password Cracking", <https://www2.cs.arizona.edu/~collberg/Teaching/466-566/2012/Resources/presentations/2012/topic7-final/report.pdf>, 2018.