

A overview: becoming a member of ofaluminium to magnesium alloys and copper by means of friction stir welding

Ravi chothani¹, Vinayak Gupta², Dr. S r Ramani³

Abstract—Friction stir welding (FSW) is a exceedingly new strong-nation becoming a member of process. This joining approach is strength efficient, environment friendly, and versatile. particularly, it can be used to sign up for high-strength aerospace aluminum alloys and different metal alloys that are tough to weld via conventional fusion welding. FSW is taken into consideration to be the most sizable development in metallic joining in a decade and is a “inexperienced” technology. Welding of multiple alloys is hard due to variation in thermal and physical houses of figure metallic. becoming a member of of diverse alloys is feasible with the aid of diverse Fusion and strong state welding techniques. however, fusion welding strategies aren't appropriate due to melting related defects, together with porosity and formation of brittle intermetallic. Diffusion welding is time eating procedure even as their hassle of determine metal thickness incase of ultrasonic welding. therefore, Friction stir welding is maximum suitable approach of joining varied alloys among all techniques. This paper looks on the assessment, on joining of distinct aluminium alloy to magnesium alloy and aluminium alloy to pure copper by means of friction stir welding technique mentioned.

Keyword: Friction stir welding, aluminium alloy, magnesium alloy, copper alloy

I. INTRODUCTION

Friction stir welding (FSW) is an revolutionary welding system usually referred to as a stable nation welding system. This opens up complete new areas in welding technology. it is specially appropriate for the welding of excessive strength alloys which might be considerably used inside the aircraft enterprise. Mechanical fastening has lengthy been preferred to sign up for aerospace structures due to the fact excessive strength aluminum alloys are tough to join through traditional fusion welding techniques [1]. Its foremost characteristic is to join cloth with out attaining the fusion temperature. It permits to weld nearly all varieties of aluminium alloys, even the one categorized as non-weldable by using fusion welding because of warm cracking and bad solidification microstructure inside the fusion quarter. FSW is taken into consideration to be the most great improvement in metallic joining in a decade and is a “green” technology because of its strength efficiency, surroundings friendliness, and versatility [2]. the key blessings of FSW are summarized in desk 1[3].

Table 1: Key benefits of FSW

Metallurgical benefits	Environmental benefits	Energy benefits
• Solid phase process • Low distortion of work piece • No loss of alloying element • Absence of solidification cracking	• No shielding gas required • No surface cleaning required • Eliminate grinding	• Improved materials use allows reduction in weight • Deceased fuel consumption in light

II. PROCESS PRINCIPLE

The fundamental idea of FSW is strikingly basic. A nonconsumable turning apparatus with an uncommonly planned stick and shoulder is embedded into the adjoining edges of sheets or plates to be joined and hence navigated along the joint line. For procedure brief learning of propelling sides and withdrawing sides required.

Fig. 1 Schematic drawing of grating blends welding [3]

The FSW device turns in the counterclockwise bearing and goes into the page (or left to right). The propelling side is on the right, where the instrument pivot heading is the same as the apparatus travel bearing (inverse the course of metal stream). The withdrawing side is on the left, where the apparatus turn is inverse the device travel bearing (parallel to the course of metal stream).

Figure: 2 Process Diagram of Friction mix welding [3]

III. FSW MATERIAL COMBINATIONS STUDIES

FSW of Al amalgam and Mg compound

SAAD AHMED KHODIR et al [4] have concentrated on the disparate joining of 2024-T3 Aluminum Alloy and AZ31 Magnesium Alloy by contact blend welding. They have been contemplated that the Increasing welding speed achieved a redistribution of stages in SZ where the districts involved by 2024 Al combination packed in the lower segment of SZ while AZ31B Mg amalgam gathered in the upper locale underneath the instrument shoulder. The covered structure was shaped in the SZ close to the limit amongst SZ and TMAZ on the propelling side of 2024 Al amalgam paying little mind to the welding speed.

Y.J.KWON et al [5] explored the FSW amongst Al and Mg compound. The most extreme elasticity of around 132 Mpa was gotten for a 1000 rpm device pivot speed.

N.SAITO et al [6] researched the Joining of tailor welded clear of Al and Mg amalgam by FSW. The most extreme normal elasticity of around 143 MPa was gotten at 1400 rpm under the device navigate pace of 100 mm/min, which was almost identical to the joint proficiency of around 72%.

YAN YONG et al [7] have contemplated the Joining of 5052 Al composite and AZ31B Mg combination by FSW. They have been concentrated on that the Complex stream design portrayed by intercalation lamellae is framed in the mix zone.

TAIKI MORISHIGE et al [8] have contemplated the Dissimilar Welding of A 5052 Al composite and AZ31B Mg Alloys by FSW. They have been watched that the FSW joints demonstrated higher hardness in their mix zones than that of guardian AZ31 amalgam contrast with laser welding on account of Mg-Al bury metallic compound arrangement.

VAHID FIROUZDOR et al [9] have concentrated on Formation of Liquid and intermetallics in Al-to-Mg Friction Stir Welding. They have been considered that intermetallic mixes in the mix zone were uncovered by shading drawing and recognized by X-beam diffraction (XRD), electron test microanalysis (EPMA), and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) as $[\text{Al}]_{-3} [\text{Mg}]_{-13}$ and $[\text{Al}]_{-12} [\text{Mg}]_{-17}$.

M.A. MOFID et al. [10] have concentrated on the impact of water cooling amid unique erosion mix welding of Al combination to Mg amalgam. They have been concentrated on the air welded example had a generally bigger volume portion of intermetallic compound, higher top temperature in blend zone and fundamentally higher hardness in the weld focus.

P. POURAHMAD et al [11] have concentrated on stream and stage changes were learned at the interface of unique welding of Al 6013 to Mg by FSW.

S. MALARVIZHI et al [12] have concentrated on impact of hardware shoulder on mechanical and elastic properties of Al compound and Mg amalgam.

FSW of Al composite and immaculate copper

PRATIK AGRAWAL et al [13] have contemplated the impact of welding rate on mechanical properties of AA 6063 Al composite and immaculate copper. They have been contemplated that the Under higher turn rates stacking layered structure created at the Al-Cu interface, and split started effectively for this situation, bringing about the poor mechanical properties.

MONEER H. TOLEPHIH et al [14] have contemplated the impact of hardware counterbalance and tilt point on mechanical properties of AA2024 Al composite and unadulterated copper. They have been concentrated on that the 2° tilt edge gives higher welding quality than 0° tilt edge.

ESTHER T. AKINLABI et al [15] have contemplated the impact of travel pace on joint properties of 5754 Al amalgam and C11000 copper. They have been examined that the microstructural assessment of the welds uncovered

that at a steady rotational speed and fluctuating the navigate speed, better blending of both metals and metallurgical holding were enhanced at the least cross velocity.

SUNIL PANDEY et al [16] have considered the butt joining of 6101 Al amalgam to immaculate copper by FSW. They have been studied the Tensile quality of weld is extremely poor as contrast with both of the base metals and all welds were fizzle from chunk zone. The flexibility of is additionally extremely poor and equivalent to the base metals.

ESTHER T. AKINLABI et al [17] have concentrated on the Fracture Location Characterizations of Dissimilar Friction Stir Welds. They have been watched that the It was watched that 70% of the pliable specimens fizzled in the Thermo Mechanically Affected Zone (TMAZ) of copper at the weld joints.

IV.MATERIAL CHARACTERIZATION STUDIES

Elongated grains along the rolling direction with a random distribution of second phase particles recognized as small black particles. The compositions of these particles were $CuMgAl_2$, Cu_2MnAl_{20} , and Cu_2FeAl_7 . These grains with several hundred microns long and approximately 40–70 mm wide is lying along the transversal direction that is normal to the welding direction [6]. Dynamic recrystallization occurred in SZ due to the plastic deformation and thermal cycle effect caused by rotational tool [7].

M.A.MOFID et al. shows that complex intercalated flow patterns, in which recrystallized Mg and Al alloys are swirled together to form a complex mesh in the stir zone of the weld. The recrystallized Mg grains in this zone can be seen to be fine homogeneous and equi-axed [10].

S.MALARVIZHI et al. shows that the recrystallized grains at Mg side from the transition zone along with the intercalated flow patterns and prominent grain growth observed in the dynamically recrystallized Mg alloy in the transition region [12].

V. MECHANICAL TESTING STUDIES

Y.KWON et al. showed that the easy bonded interface is surely obtrusive as zigzags close to the center of SZ. No formation of a eutectic microstructure indicates that the numerous FSW become carried out within the solid nation of the base metals. The most tensile power changed into approximately 132 MPa, which became about 66% of the tensile electricity of the A5052P-O alloy [5].

N.SAITO et al. confirmed within the SZ, the bonded interface was truly obvious with plastic float pattern among the aluminum and magnesium alloys, even though an onion ring sample turned into no longer fashioned. for the duration of tensile checking out, the FSWed TWBs had been fractured in the SZ at the early degree of the plastic deformation. The joint performance of the TWBs exceeded 62%, and the most common tensile energy of approximately 143 MPa changed into received at 1400 rpm below the tool traverse velocity of 100mm/min, which turned into almost equivalent to the joint performance of about 72% [6].

YAN YONG et al. confirmed Microstructure of the base metal changed into replaced by using equiaxed and satisfactory grains in stir sector. on the top of the stir quarter, 5052 and AZ31 alloys had been absolutely bonded, at the same time as onion ring shape which consisted of aluminum bands and magnesium bands became formed at the lowest of the stir area. The most value of microhardness within the stir region become two times better than that of the base materials. The fracture position positioned at a distance of two.five mm from the joint middle leaning to the

advancing side (aluminum side). unique intermetallic compounds observed in the microstructure and sixty one% joint performance obtained [7].

VAHID FIROUZDOR et al. indicates that the presence of the eutectic structure of $[\text{Al}]_{12} [\text{Mg}]_{17}$ and (Mg) within the stir zones of lap and butt welds is clear proof of liquid formation all through FSW [9].

M.A.MOFID et al. shows that the XRD analysis suggests these intermetallic stages are $[\text{Al}]_3 [\text{Mg}]_2$ and $[\text{Al}]_{12} [\text{Mg}]_{17}$ and $[\text{Al}]_2 [\text{Mg}]_3$. The stir sector of underwater welded specimen confirmed a far smoother interface and much less intermixing. due to a decrease of 25°C within the peak temperature from a maximum of 403°C within the case of air welded specimen to a most of 378°C for welds made underwater, the formation of intermetallic compounds was suppressed considerably. Grain boom changed into no longer major in the dynamically recrystallized Mg alloy inside the stir vicinity due to decrease height temperatures.

P. POURAHMAD et al. indicates that the zigzag interfaces and intermetallic compounds based in microstructure [10].

S.MALARVIZHI et al. had been observed that the maximum tensile strength of 192 MPa and the joint efficiency is 89% as compared with the decrease strength base metallic. complicated intercalated microstructures in the weld sector, with swirls and vortices indicative of the waft pattern of the distinctive metals [12].

PRATIK AGRAWAL et al. showed the proper mixing of Al and Cu in microstructure and plenty of stacking layers established were discovered at interface at one thousand rpm. consistent hardness value seventy five received at copper aspects even as at Aluminium aspect hardness cost decrease 35 [13].

MONEER H. TOLEPHIH et al. showed onion ring and fracture happened at interface [14].

ESTHER T. AKINLABI et al showed improved blending of each metals changed into accomplished at the bottom traverse speed because of low downward vertical force and high warmth enter. higher Vickers microhardness turned into measured at the interfacial areas due to dynamic recrystallization and the presence of intermetallics. The average remaining Tensile strength of the welds decreased as the welding velocity expanded [15].

SUNIL PANDEY et al. confirmed unique areas, like TMAZ and Nugget area in microstructure. Microhardness in weld nugget is higher than base metal and no sizable difference located in different regions. Tensile strength of weld may be very negative as evaluate to each of the bottom metals and all welds have been failing from nugget sector. The ductility of is likewise very bad and comparable to the bottom metals [16].

ESTHER T. AKINLABI et al based that majority of the welds fractured inside the advancing facet of the weld. maximum of the welds with low closing Tensile electricity both have defects or the presence of intermetallic compounds at their joint interfaces. Fracture places are depending on the inner structures of the weld regions, both due to the presence of weld defects or the presence of intermetallic compounds in the joints [17].

REFERENCES

- [1] M.Sivashanmugam, S.Ravikumar, A Review on Friction Stir Welding for AluminiumAlloys, 978-1-4244-9082-0/10, 2010
- [2] [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/friction stir welding](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/friction_stir_welding)
- [3] Rajiv S. Mishra, Friction Stir Welding and Processing Rajiv S. Mishra, Murray W. Mahoney, editors, p 1-5, 2007

- [4] Saad Ahmed Khodir and Toshiya Shibayanagi, Dissimilar Friction Stir Welded Joints between 2024-T3 Aluminum Alloy and AZ31 Magnesium Alloy, Materials Transactions, Vol. 48, No. 9 (2007) pp. 2501 to 2505
- [5] Y.J. Kwon, I. Shigematsu , N. Saito , Dissimilar friction stir welding between magnesium and aluminum alloys, Materials Letters 62 (2008) 3827–3829
- [6] Yong Jai Kwon and Naobumi Saito, Dissimilar Friction Stir Welding for Tailor-Welded Blanks of Aluminum and Magnesium Alloys, Materials Transactions, Vol. 50, No. 1 (2009) pp. 197 to 203
- [7] YAN Yong, ZHANG Da tong, dissimilar friction stir welding between 5052 aluminum alloy and AZ31 magnesium alloy, Trans. Nonferrous Met. Soc China (20) (2010) s619-s623
- [8] Taiki Morishige, Atsushi Kawaguchi, Dissimilar Welding of Al and Mg Alloys by FSW, Materials Transactions, Vol. 49, No. 5 (2008) pp. 1129 to 1131
- [9] Vahid Firouzdor, Sindo Kou, Formation of Liquid and Intermetallics in Al-to-Mg Friction Stir Welding, Metallurgical and Materials Transactions, 3240—vol 41A, 2010
- [10] M.A. Mofid, A. Abdollahzadeh, the effect of water cooling during dissimilar friction stir welding of Al alloy to Mg alloy, Materials and Design 36 (2012) 161–167
- [11] P. Pourahmad, M. Abbasi, Bimetal friction stir welding of aluminum to magnesium, Journal of Advanced Materials and Processing, Vol. 1, No. 1, 2012
- [12] S. Malarvizhi , V. Balasubramanian, Influences of tool shoulder diameter to plate thickness ratio (D/T) on stir zone formation and tensile properties of friction stir welded dissimilar joints of AA6061 aluminum–AZ31B magnesium alloys, Materials and Design 40 (2012) 453–460