

Study on M20 and M60 grade concrete using rice husk ash and waste granite powder

G. Gowri Sankara Rao

Associate Professor

Department of Civil Engineering

AITAM, Tekkali, AP, India

gowri_sankar007@rediffmail.com

Nougapu Pavan

PG Student

Department of Civil Engineering

AITAM, Tekkali, AP, India

pavan7334@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: Rice husk ash (RHA) is derived from Rice Husks, which is usually regarded as agricultural waste. Waste granite powder is derived from granite crushing industries. This paper incorporates the results from the experimental work attempted to assess the appropriateness of rice husk ash to be utilised as the partial substitutes for cement in both normal and high strength concrete accommodating waste granite powder as a partial substitute to fine aggregate. Normal grade of M20 and HSC mix of M60 are designed to attain 20 MPa and 60 MPa at 28 days including and excluding of rice husk ash. Waste granite powder is then replaced in the mixes with varying percentages ranging from 0% to 50%. The compressive strength, flexural strength, and mechanical properties development are monitored for 28 days and the tests are conducted. Depending on the results, the mixes containing 25% RHA of concrete in terms of compressive strength and mixes containing 25% RHA replacement and 40% waste granite powder replacement is preferred in terms of flexural strength. These findings assert that waste granite powder along with Rice husk ash can be replaced together partially in place of sand and cement. Durability tests are also conducted to assess the chemical attack which stems in the deterioration of concrete.

Keywords: High-strength concrete (HSC), Granite powder; rice husk ash (RHA), Compressive strength, mechanical properties, superplasticizer.

I. INTRODUCTION

Cement included in concrete is a blend of intricate of compounds. Cement being a considerable industrial artifact and is made in about 120 countries. Cement when mixed with water and aggregates, makes omnipresent concrete that is utilized in the raising of buildings, roads, bridges, and other structures. Producing concrete by incorporating portland cement is on the top priority to many countries due to the low cost of materials and construction besides, loss cost of preservation for concrete structures. But an enormous levels of energy is essential for cement production which spits carbon dioxide (CO₂) and is very deleterious to the environment [1]. Specifically, this issue by employing the concept of supplementary cementitious material.

1.1 Rice Husk Ash

Rice husk is agricultural debris widely available in major rice-producing countries. Rice processing creates a result known as a husk as this encompasses the unmilled rice grain. While milling of the unmilled rice, around 78 % of the mass is procured as rice, broken rice, and bran [2]. The other 22% of the mass of unmilled rice is acquired as a husk. The husk of the rice is pullout in the farming procedure before it is sold and consumed. Rice husks take very long to decompose and are not appropriate for composting or dung. Therefore, 100 million tons of rice husk creates worldwide which begins to influence the environment if not disposed of properly. This husk can be utilized as a combusting material in the ricemills to create heat for the scalding procedure [3],[4]. The husk consists of about 75% of fundamental evaporable matter and the other 25% is changed over into ash at the time of the burning process and is named Rice Husk Ash (RHA). RHA is widely considered as a waste material

that is procured as a by-product of rice milling industries [5]. For rice procuring countries like India, rice husks attracted more attention due to environmental pollution and increased interest in the conservation of energy and resources.

The adding of RHA to concrete decreases the mass of concrete by 70-75%. So, RHA concrete can be prominently utilized as lightweight concrete for constructions where the weight of the construction is of majorly important.

1.2 Waste Granite Powder

Granite is a sort of rock that's granular and phaneritic in appearance having 20-60% of the quartz by volume and a minimal of 35% of the entire feldspar comprising of alkali feldspar [6]. The Aforementioned rocks mostly incorporates feldspar, quartz, mica. It is large, solid, and hard; thus, these qualities made granite a worldwide construction material [7]. In general, the solidity of the granite is from 2.65 to 2.75 g/cm³ on an average and its compressive strength typically more than 200 MPa. Granite powder is the industrial remnant that is produced from the granite refurbishing industries and is being disposed of and dumped as filling materials. Being a fine material, it will be easily carried away by air and will cause health problems and environmental pollution.[8] Fine granite powder has largely been utilized by the skilled labor of important projects around the world to introduce the incumbent utilization of fine granite powder in the view of the fact that is equal gamut and null impurities.

Table 1
Chemical composition of Granite powder

S. No	Chemical compound	Weight (%)
1.	SiO ₂	64.5
2.	Al ₂ O ₃	12.01
3.	Na ₂ O ₃	5.92
4.	Fe ₂ O ₃	5.77
5.	K ₂ O	5.26
6.	CaO	4.80
7.	TiO ₂	0.67
8.	MgO	0.57
9.	MnO	0.39
10.	P ₂ O ₅	0.07

Objectives of the study

- To study the strength of the concrete along with the inclusion of granite powder replacing fine aggregate and rice husk ash in place of cement.
- To study the durability characteristics.
- Strength comparison of Conventional and Non-conventional concrete.

II. MATERIALS

Locally available ordinary Portland cement, river sand, granite fine aggregate, and RHA are the prime constituents utilised in this experimentation. The granite powder, a repercussion of the granite trituration process, is procured from an industry located about 10 km from Tekkali, Andhra Pradesh, India. Granite sludge is available in the form of wet as an industrial by-product comes directly from the sediments of granite factories, and that forms amid the sawing, shaping, and polishing processes of granite. The wet granite sludge is dried up preceding the preparation of the samples. The dried substance is sieved and at last, the granite powder is existed to be utilised in the experiments as fine aggregate.

Table 1.2 represents the physical parameters of the aggregates utilized in the study.

Table 2
Properties of fine and coarse aggregates

Properties	Coarse aggregate	River sand	Granite powder
Specific gravity	2.85	2.7	2.58
Absorption (%)	0.54	0.74	0.78
Moisture content (%)	0.81	0.97	0.94
Fineness modulus	7.26	3.24	2.43
Grading	20mm aggregate	Medium	Medium

Portland cement gives a more amount of lime. By the addition of a pozzolana substance, like RHA mixes to lime and by addition of water, gives a sturdy and more amorphous hydrated calcium silicate. The lab examination and field examination exhibited that the employing pozzolana is extremely helpful in mitigating the issues of cement. The RHA isn't utterly a "filling material", besides gives strength and accomplishment. The unmilled rice husks is procured from a rice mill in Tekkali, Andhra Pradesh, India. RHA is procured by burning the unmilled rice husks at steady temperature in a furnace and grind them in a 'Los Angeles machine' in order to get the prerequisite fineness. The chemical composition of rice husk ash is summerised in table 3.

Table 3
Chemicals in rice husk ash

Sl.no	Chemicals	percentages
1	Silicon dioxide (SiO ₂)	87.20
2	Aluminum oxide (Al ₂ O ₃)	0.15
3	Ferric oxide (Fe ₂ O ₃)	0.16
4	Calcium oxide (CaO)	0.55
5	Magnesium oxide (MgO)	0.35
6	Sulfur trioxide (SO ₃)	0.24
7	Carbon (C)	5.91
8	Loss on ignition	4.94

RHA replacement is fixed at 25%. The physical characteristics of binders' cement and RHA are in the below table.4.

Table 4 Properties of RHA as compared to cement		
Properties	RHA	Cement
Specific gravity	2.53	3.12
Avg Pore size	4.18	-

2.1 Mix proportions:

M20: The mix proportion for M20 grade concrete is done using the Indian standard code 10262:2019. For whose, the water-cement proportion is kept as the least value of 0.45 (0.55 maximum according to code IS 10262:2019) for the slump value is 150mm, the coarse aggregate of 20mm size and below. The proportion for the mix is 1:1.51:2.95

M60: The mix proportion of M60 grade concrete is done by utilizing the Indian standard code 10262:2019. For whose the water-cement proportion is kept as the minimal estimation of 0.35 for the slump value is 150mm, an admixture named Master Glenium sky 8777 is utilised to minimize the workability and increase the strength, the coarse aggregate of size 20mm and below. The proportion for the mix is 1:1.07:3.40.

2.2 METHODOLOGY

Strength Tests on concrete:

i. Compressive Strength test

The compressive strength tests on concrete are carried out on a compression testing machine accompanied by a range of 2.5 KN/s. The specimen utilised is a 150 mm cube and is cast and cured for 7,14, 28, days. The specimens are tested after taking the cubes from the curing tank in surface dry condition.

ii. Split Tensile strength test

The split tensile strength test is executed on a universal testing machine accompanied by a range of 2.5KN/s. A cylinder specimen of 150mm dia and 300 mm height are cast and cured for 7 and 28 days. The specimens are tested after taking out from curing tanks

iii. Flexural Strength test

The flexural strength test is executed on a flexural strength testing machine with a loading rate of 1KN/s. Beam specimens of 150x150mm dimensions are cast and cured for 7 and 28 days. The specimens are tested after taking out from curing tanks.

2.3 Durability Tests on concrete

Durability tests are conducted to expose a chemical attack that gives change in volume, fissure of concrete which results in the deformation. Under a chemical attack the following tests are carried out:

- i. Acid Attack
- ii. Sulphate Attack
- iii. Alkaline Attack

i. Acid Attack

For the acid test, a liter of distilled water is combined with 5% of HCL to obtain the HCL solution. Casted cubes are cured in the hydrochloric acid solution for 28 days. Once done with curing, the cubes are lifted off from the solution, and then the compression test is conducted.

ii. Sulphate attack

For the Sulphate test, a liter of distilled water is combined with 5 % of Magnesium Sulphate ($MgSO_4$) to obtain the $MgSO_4$ solution. Then cubes are immersed within the $MgSO_4$ solution for 28 days. Once done with curing, the cubes are pulled off, and then the compression test is completed to seek out its compression strength.

iii. Alkaline attack

For the alkaline test, a liter of distilled water is combined with 5 % of NaOH pellets to obtain caustic soda (NaOH) solution. Then cubes are immersed within the prepared caustic soda solution for 28 days. Once done with curing, the cubes are pulled off and the compression test is completed to seek out its compression strength.

III. Experimental Results

The following Table 5 and fig 1 illustrates the compressive strengths of concrete of grades M20 with 25% weight replacement of cement with RHA and (0%,10%,20%, 30%, 40%, and 50%) fine aggregate with granite powder, not subjected to chemical attack.

Table 5
Average Compressive Strength of Cubes (M20)

% of replace ment of RHA	% of replace ment of Granite powder	Compressive strength (N/mm ²)		
		7days	14 days	28days
0	0	13.89	17.36	21.22
25	10	13.90	17.41	22.36
25	20	14.10	17.60	22.95
25	30	14.32	17.73	23.02
25	40	14.03	17.04	22.30
25	50	12.96	16.94	21.10




Fig 1: Compressive strength of M20 Concrete (N/mm2)

The following Table 6 and fig 2 illustrates the split tensile strengths of concrete of grades M20 with 25% weight replacement of cement with RHA and (0%,10%,20%, 30%, 40%, and 50%) fine aggregate with granite powder, not subjected to chemical attack

Table 6
Average Split Tensile Strengths of Cylinders (M20)

% of replacement of RHA	% of replacement of Granite powder	Split Tensile strength (N/mm ²)	
		7days	28days
0	0	1.64	1.98
25	10	1.73	2.21
25	20	2.49	2.90
25	30	2.82	3.00
25	40	2.72	2.97
25	50	1.98	2.26




Fig 2: Split Tensile strength of M20 Concrete (N/mm²)

The following Table 7 and fig 3 illustrates the flexural strengths of concrete of grades M20 with 25% weight replacement of cement with RHA and (0%,10%,20%, 30%, 40%, and 50%) fine aggregate with granite powder, not subjected to chemical attack.

Table 7
Average Flexural Strengths of Beams (M20)

% of replacement of RHA	% of replacement of Granite powder	Flexural strength (N/mm ²) (M20)	
		7days	28days
0	0	1.91	3.30
25	10	2.04	3.67
25	20	2.56	3.78
25	30	2.73	3.85
25	40	2.93	3.97
25	50	2.82	3.65




Fig 3: Flexural strength of M20 Concrete (N/mm²)

The following table 8 and fig 4 illustrates the compressive strengths of concrete of grades M60 with 25% weight replacement of cement with RHA and (0%,10%,20%, 30%, 40%, and 50%) fine aggregate with granite powder, not subjected to chemical attack.

Table 8
Average Compressive Strengths of Cubes (M60)

% of replacement of RHA	% of replacement of Granite powder	Compressive strength (N/mm ²) (M60)		
		7days	14 days	28days
0	0	21.33	42.66	60.92
25	10	28.36	45.52	61.16
25	20	29.76	46.98	62.56
25	30	29.85	48.88	63.22
25	40	26.66	45.62	54.74
25	50	24.35	43.24	53.72




Fig 4: Compressive strength of M60 Concrete (N/mm²)

The following table 9 and fig 5 illustrates the split tensile strengths of concrete of grades M60 with 25% weight replacement of cement with RHA and (0%,10%,20%, 30%, 40%, and 50%) fine aggregate with granite powder, not subjected to chemical attack.

Table 9
Average Split Tensile Strengths of Cylinders (M60)

% of replacement of RHA	% of replacement of Granite powder	Split Tensile strength (N/mm ²)	
		7days	28days
0	0	3.20	5.43
25	10	3.70	5.45
25	20	3.82	5.54
25	30	3.85	5.58
25	40	3.63	5.20
25	50	3.40	5.15




Fig 5: Split Tensile strength of M60 Concrete (N/mm²)

The following table 10 and fig 6 illustrates the flexural strengths of concrete of grades M60 with 25% weight replacement of cement with RHA and (0%,10%,20%, 30%, 40%, and 50%) fine aggregate with granite powder, not subjected to chemical attack

Table 10
Average Flexural Strengths of Beams (M60)

% of replacement of RHA	% of replacement of Granite powder	Flexural strength (N/mm ²)	
		7days	28days
0	0	4.43	5.17
25	10	4.50	5.20
25	20	4.55	5.23
25	30	4.86	5.28
25	40	4.97	5.46
25	50	4.44	5.07




Fig 6: Flexural strength of M60 Concrete (N/mm²)

The following table 11 and fig 7 illustrates the compressive strengths of concrete of grades M20 with 25% weight replacement of cement with RHA and (0%,10%,20%, 30%, 40%, and 50%) fine aggregate with granite powder, subjected to chemical attack.

Table 11
Average Compressive Strengths of Cubes Subjected to Chemical Attack:(M20)

% of replace ment of RHA	% of replace ment of Granite powder	Compressive strength (N/mm ²) after 28 days subjected to chemical attack(M20)		
		Acid Attack	Sulphate Attack	Alkali Attack
0	0	20.48	20.36	20.31
25	10	21.66	20.94	20.85
25	20	21.89	21.35	20.98
25	30	22.69	22.01	21.86
25	40	21.92	20.44	19.88
25	50	20.46	19.88	19.67




Fig 7: Compressive strength of M20 Concrete (N/mm²)

The following table 12 and fig 8 illustrates the compressive strengths of concrete of grades M60 with 25% weight replacement of cement with RHA and (0%,10%,20%, 30%, 40%, and 50%) fine aggregate with granite powder, subjected to chemical attack.

Table 12
Average Compressive Strengths of Cubes Subjected to Chemical Attack:(M60)

% of replacement of RHA	% of replacement of Granite powder	Compressive strength (N/mm ²) after 28 days subjected to chemical attack(M60)		
		Acid Attack	Sulphate Attack	Alkali Attack
0	0	60.14	60.76	60.32
25	10	60.26	59.82	60.53
25	20	61.82	60.52	60.87
25	30	62.95	61.85	61.3
25	40	59.26	56.88	56.86
25	50	57.55	57.1	57.24




Fig 8: Compressive strength of M60 Concrete

IV. DISCUSSION

The cube compressive strength results are obtained after the curing process of 7, 14, and 28 days for different replacement levels of 0%, 25% weight replacement of cement with RHA and 0%, 10%, 20%, 30%, 40%, and 50% of fine aggregate with granite powder. The Strength thus developed for the given different mixes is plotted as a graph and is shown in Figures. From the test results, it is noticed that the strength increased up to 30% of Replacement of sand with waste granite powder and then decreases, while it is more than 30% for M20 and M60 mixes, but in the case of flexural strength, the strength increased till 40% replacement and then decreased, at 25% replacement of cement with RHA. Thus, the maximum strength is obtained for a mixture of 25% of RHA and 30% of granite powder replacement at the water-binder ratio of 0.45 for M20 and 0.35 for M60 mixes in case of compressive and split tensile strengths, and 40% replacement will be optimum for flexural strength.

CONCLUSION

- 1) Comparative study on waste granite powder concrete with various percentage replacements of granite powder showed that replacement percentage of 30% shows better results in terms of compressive and split tensile strengths replacement of 40% in terms of flexural strength
- 2) A modest decend in the strength is observed when the specimens are subjected to chemical attacks.
- 3) Utilization of Rice husk ash and Waste granite powder together can be utilised to manufacture conventional concrete

FUTURE SCOPE

The future study can be carried out by fixing the replacement percentage of the granite powder as 30% and varying the RHA replacement percentage and conducting the strength and durability tests on concrete.

REFERENCES

- [1] Haider Mohammed Owaid, Roszilah B.Hamid, and Mohd Raihan Taha (2012), “A Review of Sustainable Supplementary Cementitious Materials as an Alternative to All-Portland Cement Mortar and Concrete”, Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 6(9): 2887-303, sept 2012 ISSN 1991-8178.
- [2] S. N. Ramana, b, T. Ngoa, P. Mendisa, H. B. Mahmudc “High-Strength Rice Husk Ash Concrete Incorporating Quarry Dust as A Partial Substitute for Sand” Volume 25, Issue 7, July 2011, Pages 3123-3130.
- [3] Davidovits, J., 1994. Global warming impact on the cement and aggregates industries. *World resource review*, 6(2), pp.263-278.
- [4] M.Pavan Kumar, K.Chandrakala: “Characteristic Studies on Concrete by Partial Replacement of Fine Aggregate with Waste Granite Powder” International Journal of Engineering Research and Technology vol.8, issue 10, Oct 2019 ISSN: 2278-0181.
- [5] Mohammad Abdul Rashid and Mohammad Abul Mansur, “Considerations in producing High Strength Concrete”, Journal of Civil Engineering, 2009, Vol. 37(1), pp 53-63.
- [6] Salas A, Delvasto S, de Gutierrez RM, Lange D. Comparison of two processes for treating rice husk ash for use in high performance concrete. *Cement and Concrete Research* Volume 39, Issue 9, September 2009, Pages 773-778.
- [7] Srinivasa.CH, Dr.Venkatesh(2015): “Optimization of granite powder utilised as partial replacement to concrete in the design of ready mix concrete of M20 grade” International Journal of Engineering Research and Technology, vol 4 issue 1, January 2015 ISSN:2278 – 0181.
- [8] Yebbatam Revathi, G. Rajesh “Experimental Analysis on Granite Waste Powder” International Journal for Innovative Engineering and Management Research, Volume 07, Issue 12, Pages: 714–719.