

## **Supply Grid Voltage Regulation as a STATCOM from iUPQC Controller by Hybrid Fuzzy-Pi Based**

<sup>1</sup>S.MUQTHIARALI, M.Tech. (PhD), <sup>2</sup>K.HARINATH REDDY, M.Tech., <sup>3</sup>G.VENKATESH

<sup>1</sup> Assistant Professor, Department of EEE, Annamacharya Institute of Technology and Sciences, Rajampeta

<sup>2</sup> Assistant Professor, Department of EEE, Annamacharya Institute of Technology and Sciences, Rajampeta

<sup>3</sup>PG Student (EPS), Department of EEE, Annamacharya Institute of Technology and Sciences, Rajampeta

### **Abstract-**

*This paper presents an improved controller for the dual topology of the unified power quality conditioner (iUPQC) with the usage of fuzzy logic controller extending its applicability in power-quality compensation, as well as in micro-grid applications. Here we are using fuzzy logic controller along with PI controllers. The iUPQC will work as a static synchronous compensator (STATCOM) at the grid side, while providing also the conventional UPQC compensations at the load or micro-grid side. By using this controller, beyond the conventional UPQC power quality features, including voltage sag/swell compensation, the iUPQC will also provide reactive power support to regulate not only the load-bus voltage but also the voltage at the grid-side bus. A simulation circuit simulated by using the MATLAB/SIMULINK software version 2011a to verify the performance of the controller.*

*Index terms – iUPQC, micro-grids, power quality, static synchronous compensator (STATCOM), unified power quality conditioner (UPQC), Fuzzy logic.*

### **I. INTRODUCTION**

The power circuit of a UPQC consists of a combination of a shunt active filter and a series active filter connected in a back-to-back configuration. This combination allows the simultaneous compensation of the load current and the supply voltage, so that the compensated current drawn from the grid and the compensated supply voltage delivered to the load are kept balanced and sinusoidal. The dual topology of the UPQC, i.e., the iUPQC, where the shunt active filter behaves as an ac-voltage source and the series one as an ac-current source, both at the fundamental frequency. This is a key point to better design the control gains, as well as to optimize the LCL filter of the power converters, which allows improving significantly the overall performance of the compensator. Certainly, power-electronics devices have brought about great technological improvements. However, the increasing number of power-electronics driven loads used generally in the industry has brought about uncommon power quality problems. In contrast, power-electronics-driven loads generally require ideal sinusoidal supply voltage in order to function properly, whereas they are the most responsible ones for abnormal harmonic currents level in the distribution system. In this scenario, devices that can mitigate these drawbacks have been developed over the years. In, the performance of the iUPQC is improved than the UPQC. The main difference between these compensators is the sort of source emulated by the series and shunt power converters. In the UPQC approach, the series converter is controlled as a non-sinusoidal voltage source and the shunt one as a non-sinusoidal current source. Hence, in real time, the UPQC controller has to determine and synthesize accurately the harmonic voltage and current to be compensated. On the other hand, in the iUPQC approach, the series converter behaves as a controlled sinusoidal current source and the shunt converter as a controlled sinusoidal voltage source. This means that it is not necessary to determine the harmonic voltage and current to be compensated, since the harmonic voltages appear naturally across the series current source and the harmonic currents flow naturally into the shunt voltage source. In actual power converters, as the switching frequency increases, the controller power rate capability is reduced. Therefore, the iUPQC offers better solutions if compared with the UPQC in case of high-power applications, since the iUPQC compensating references are pure sinusoidal waveforms at the fundamental frequency.

Moreover, the UPQC has higher switching losses due to its higher switching frequency. The STATCOM has been used widely in transmission networks to regulate the voltage by means of dynamic reactive power compensation. Nowadays, the STATCOM is largely used for voltage regulation, whereas the UPQC and the iUPQC have been selected as solution for more specific applications. Moreover, these last ones are used only in particular cases, where their relatively high costs are justified by the power quality improvement it can provide, which would be unfeasible by using conventional solutions. By joining the extra functionality like a STATCOM in the iUPQC device, a wider scenario of applications can be attained, particularly in case of distributed generation in smart grids and as the coupling device in grid-tied micro-grids. This paper proposes an improved controller, which expands the iUPQC functionalities. This improved version of iUPQC controller includes all functionalities of those previous ones, including the voltage regulation at the load-side bus, and now providing also voltage

This paper is organized in five sections. After this introduction, in Section II, literature survey of the paper Section III about the iUPQC Controller explained, as well as the novel feature of the proposed controller. Section III presents the proposed controller and an analysis of the power flow in steady state. Finally, Sections IV and V provide the experimental results and the conclusions, respectively

## **II. LITERATURE SURVEY**

**i. K. Karanki, G. Geddada, M. K. Mishra, and B. K. Kumar**, implements “*Iupqc Controller to Improve Grid Voltage Regulation*”. This topic describe an improved controller for the dual topology of the unified power quality conditioner (iUPQC) extending its applicability in power-quality compensation, as well as in micro grid applications. By using this controller, beyond the conventional UPQC power quality features, including voltage sag/swell compensation, the iUPQC will also provide reactive power support to regulate not only the load-bus voltage but also the voltage at the grid-side bus. Other words, the iUPQC will work as a static synchronous compensator (STATCOM) at the grid side, while providing also the conventional UPQC compensations at the load or micro grid side. Experimental results are provided to verify the new functionality of the equipment.

**ii. L. G. B. Rolim**, improves “Custom power interfaces for renewable energy sources,” This paper presents an improved PV based controller for the dual topology of the unified power quality conditioner (iUPQC) extending its applicability in power-quality compensation, as well as in micro grid applications. By using this controller, beyond the conventional UPQC power quality features, including voltage sag/swell compensation, the iUPQC will also provide reactive power support to regulate not only the load-bus voltage but also the voltage at the grid-side bus. In other words, the PV based iUPQC will work as a static synchronous compensator (STATCOM) at the grid side, while providing also the conventional UPQC compensations at the load or micro grid side. Experimental results are provided to verify the new functionality of the equipment.

**iii. A. P. Jayam, N. K. Ardeshta, and B. H. Chowdhury**, implements “Application of STATCOM for improved reliability of power grid containing a wind turbine,” A DVR can make amends for current sag/swell and distortion within the supply side current so that the current across a sensitive/critical load terminal is perfectly controlled. A unified power-quality conditioner (UPQC) is capable of doing the functions of both DSTATCOM and DVR. This paper presents a better controller for that dual topology from the unified power quality conditioner (iUPQC) extending its applicability in power-quality compensation, plus micro grid applications. Quite simply, the iUPQC will act as a static synchronous compensator (STATCOM) in the grid side, while supplying even the conventional UPQC compensations in the load or micro grid side. Experimental answers are presented to verify the brand new functionality from the equipment. Applying this controller, past the conventional UPQC power quality features, including current sag/swell compensation. The iUPQC may also provide reactive power support to manage not just the burden-bus current but the current in the grid-side bus.

**iv. V. Khadkikar**, implements “Enhancing electric power quality using UPQC: Comprehensive overview,” — This paper presents a comprehensive review on the unified power quality conditioner (UPQC) to enhance the electric power quality at distribution levels. This is intended to present a broad overview on the different possible UPQC system configurations for single-phase (two-wire) and three-phase (three-wire and four-wire) networks, different compensation approaches, and recent developments in the field. It is noticed that several researchers have used different names for the UPQC based on the unique function, task, application, or topology under consideration.

### III. IUPQC CONTROLLER

#### A. About iUPQC

In order to clarify the applicability of the improved iUPQC controller, Fig. 1 depicts an electrical system with two buses in spotlight, i.e., bus A and bus B. Bus A is a critical bus of the power system that supplies sensitive loads and serves as point of coupling of a micro-grid. Bus B is a bus of the micro-grid, where nonlinear loads are connected, which requires premium quality power supply. The voltages at buses A and B must be regulated, in order to properly supply the sensitive loads and the nonlinear loads. The effects caused by the harmonic currents drawn by the nonlinear loads should be mitigated, avoiding harmonic voltage propagation to bus A. The use of a STATCOM to guarantee the voltage regulation at bus A is not enough because the harmonic currents drawn by the nonlinear loads are not mitigated. On the other hand, UPQC or an iUPQC between bus A and bus B can compensate the harmonic currents of the nonlinear loads and compensate the voltage at bus B, in terms of voltage harmonics, unbalance, and sag/swell.





**Figure : 1 Block diagram of IUPQC**

Nevertheless, this is still not enough to guarantee the voltage regulation at bus A. Hence, to achieve all the desired goals, a STATCOM at bus A and a UPQC (or an iUPQC) between buses A and B should be employed. However, the costs of this solution would be unreasonably high. An attractive solution would be the use of a modified iUPQC controller to provide also reactive functionalities of this equipment. Note that the modified iUPQC serves as an intertie between buses A and B. Moreover, the micro-grid connected to the bus B could be a complex system comprising distributed generation, energy management system, and other control systems involving micro-grid, as well as smart grid concepts [22]. In summary, the modified iUPQC can provide the following functionalities:

- "Smart" circuit breaker as an intertie between the grid and the micro-grid;
- Energy and power flow control between the grid and the micro-grid (imposed by a tertiary control layer for the micro-grid);
- Reactive power support at bus A of the power system;
- Voltage/frequency support at bus B of the micro-grid;
- Harmonic voltage and current isolation between bus A and bus B (simultaneous grid-voltage and load-current active filtering capability);
- Voltage and current imbalance compensation. The functionalities (d)–(f) previously listed were extensively explained and verified through simulations and experimental analysis, whereas the functionality (c) comprises the original contribution of the present work. Fig.2 depicts, in detail, the connections and measurements of the iUPQC between bus A and bus B.



The controller inputs are the voltages at buses A and B, the current demanded by bus B ( $i_L$ ), and the voltage  $v_{DC}$  of the common dc link. The outputs are the shunt-voltage reference and the series-current reference to the pulse width modulation (PWM) controllers. The voltage and current PWM controllers can be as simple as those employed, or be improved further to better deal with voltage and current imbalance and harmonics. First, the simplified Clark transformation is applied to the measured variables. As example of this transformation, the grid voltage in the  $\alpha\beta$ -reference frame can be calculated as

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_{A-\alpha} \\ V_{A-\beta} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ 0 & \sqrt{3}/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_{A-ab} \\ V_{A-bc} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{-----} \quad (1)$$

The shunt converter imposes the voltage at bus B. Thus, it is necessary to synthesize sinusoidal voltages with nominal amplitude and frequency. Consequently, the signals sent to the PWM controller are the phase locked loop (PLL) outputs with amplitude equal to 1 p.u.

However, this is not the case here, in the modified iUPQC controller, since now the grid voltage will be also regulated by the modified iUPQC. In other words, both buses will be regulated independently to track their reference values. The series converter synthesizes the current drawn from the grid bus (bus A). In the original approach of iUPQC, this current is calculated through the average active power required by the loads PL plus the power Loss. The load active power can be estimated by

$$P_L = V_{+1-\alpha} \cdot i_{L-\alpha} + V_{+1-\beta} \cdot i_{L-\beta} \quad \text{-----} \quad (2)$$

Where  $i_{L-\alpha}$ ,  $i_{L-\beta}$  are the load currents, and  $V_{+1-\alpha}$ ,  $V_{+1-\beta}$  are the voltage references for the shunt converter. A low-pass filter is used to obtain the average active power (PL). The additional control loop to provide voltage regulation like a STATCOM at the grid bus is represented by the control signal Q STATCOM in Fig. 3 This control signal is obtained through a Fuzzy logic controller, in which the input variable is the error between the reference value and the actual aggregate voltage of the grid bus, given by

$$V_{col} = \sqrt{V_{A+1-\alpha}^2 + V_{A+1-\beta}^2} \quad \text{-----} \quad (3)$$

The sum of the power signals PL and PLloss composes the active-power control variable for the series converter of the iUPQC(p). Likewise, Q STATCOM is the active-power control variable q. Thus, the current references  $i_{+1\alpha}$  and  $i_{+1\beta}$  of the series converter are determined by

### C. Power Flow in Steady State

The following procedure, based on the average power flow, is useful for estimating the power ratings of the iUPQC converters. For combined series–shunt power conditioners, such as the UPQC and the iUPQC, only the voltage sag/swell disturbance and the power factor (PF) compensation of the load produce a circulating average power through the power conditioners.




Figure: 4 iUPQC power flow in steady-state

According to Fig. 4, the compensation of a voltage sag/swell disturbance at bus B causes a positive sequence voltage at the coupling transformer ( $V_{series} \neq 0$ ), since  $V_A \neq V_B$ . Moreover,  $V_{series}$  and  $i_{PB}$  in the coupling transformer leads to a circulating active power  $\bar{P}_{inner}$  in the iUPQC. Additionally, the compensation of the load PF increases the current supplied by the shunt converter. The following analysis is valid for an iUPQC acting like a conventional UPQC or including the extra compensation like a STATCOM. First, the circulating power will be calculated when the iUPQC is operating just like a conventional UPQC.

#### D.FUZZY LOGIC CONTROLLER

In FLC, basic control action is determined by a set of linguistic rules. These rules are determined by the system. Since the numerical variables are converted into linguistic variables, mathematical modeling of the system is not required in FC. The FLC comprises of three parts: fuzzification, interference engine and defuzzification. The FC is characterized as i. seven fuzzy sets for each input and output. ii. Triangular membership functions for simplicity. iii. Fuzzification using continuous universe of discourse. iv. Implication using Mamdani's, 'min' operator. v. Defuzzification using the height method.




Figure: 5 Fuzzy logic controllers

**Fuzzification:** Membership function values are assigned to the linguistic variables, using seven fuzzy subsets: NB (Negative Big), NM (Negative Medium), NS (Negative Small), ZE (Zero), PS (Positive Small), PM (Positive Medium), and PB (Positive Big). The partition of fuzzy subsets and the shape of membership CE(k) E(k) function adapt the shape up to appropriate system. The value of input error and change in error are normalized by an input scaling factor.

Table I Fuzzy Rules

| Change in error | ERROR |    |    |    |    |    |    |
|-----------------|-------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
|                 | NB    | NM | NS | Z  | PS | PM | PB |
| NB              | PB    | PB | PB | PM | PM | PS | Z  |
| NM              | PB    | PB | PM | PM | PS | Z  | Z  |
| NS              | PB    | PM | PS | PS | Z  | NM | NB |
| Z               | PB    | PM | PS | Z  | NS | NM | NB |
| PS              | PM    | PS | Z  | NS | NM | NB | NB |
| PM              | PS    | Z  | NS | NM | NB | NB | NB |
| PB              | Z     | NS | NM | NM | NB | NB | NB |

**Defuzzification:** As a plant usually requires a non-fuzzy Value of control, a defuzzification stage is needed. To compute the output of the FLC, „height“ method is used and the FLC output modifies the control output. Further,

The output of FLC controls the switch in the inverter. In UPQC, the active power, reactive power, terminal voltage of the line and capacitor voltage are required to be maintained. In order to control these parameters, they are sensed and compared with the reference values. To achieve this, the membership functions of FC are: error, change in error and output.

#### *i. Fuzzy PI hybrid control logic*




Figure: 6 *Fuzzy PI hybrid control logic*

#### *i. PI controller*

- Design a proportional controller to meet the transient response specifications, i.e. place the
- dominant closed-loop system poles at a desired location
- Add a PI controller with a zero
- Tune the gain of the system to move the closed loop pole closer
- Check the time response and modify the design until it is acceptable.

#### IV SIMULATION RESULTS




Figure: 7 Simulink circuit for the load voltage, grid voltage and current iUPQC response at no load condition.




Figure: 8 iUPQC response at no load condition: (a) grid voltages  $V_A$ , (b) load voltages  $V_B$ , and (c) grid currents.




Figure: 9 Simulink circuit for the load currents, grid currents, load voltages and grid voltages at iUPQC transitory response during the connection of a three phase diode rectifier




Figure :10 : iUPQC transitory response during the connection of a three phase diode rectifier: (a) load currents, (b) grid currents, (c) load voltages and (d) grid voltages.




Figure: 11 simulink circuit to show the load currents, source currents, load voltages, and source voltages at iUPQC transitory response during the connection of a two-phase diode rectifier




**Figure: 12** iUPQC transitory response during the connection of a two-phase diode rectifier: (a) load currents, (b) source currents, (c) load voltages, and (c) source voltages.

## V CONCLUSION

Hence conclude that the power-quality compensation features of a conventional UPQC or an iUPQC, this improved controller also mimics a STATCOM to the grid bus with the usage of fuzzy logic controller. This new feature enhances the applicability of the iUPQC and provides new solutions in future scenarios involving smart grids and micro-grids, including distributed generation and energy storage systems to better deal with the inherent variability of renewable resources such as solar and wind power. Here we are using fuzzy logic controller instead of using other controllers.

In the improved iUPQC controller, the currents synthesized by the series converter are determined by the average active power of the load and the active power to provide the dc-link voltage regulation, together with an average reactive power to regulate the grid-bus voltage. The simulation results verified the improved iUPQC goals. The grid-voltage regulation was achieved with no load, as well as when supplying a three phase nonlinear load. These results have demonstrated a suitable performance of voltage regulation at both sides of the iUPQC, even while compensating harmonic current and voltage imbalances.

## REFERENCESS

- [1] K. Karanki, G. Geddada, M. K. Mishra, and B. K. Kumar, "Am odified three-phase four-wire UPQC topology with reduced DC-link voltage rating," IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron., vol. 60, no. 9, pp. 3555–3566, Sep. 2013.
- [2] V. Khadkikar and A. Chandra, "A new control philosophy for a unified power quality conditioner (UPQC) to coordinate load-reactive power demand between shunt and series inverters," IEEE Trans. Power Del., vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 2522–2534, Oct. 2008.
- [3] K. H. Kwan, P. L. So, and Y. C. Chu, "An output regulation-based unified power quality conditioner with Kalman filters," IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron., vol. 59, no. 11, pp. 4248–4262, Nov. 2012.
- [4] A. Mokhtatpour and H. A. Shayanfar, "Power quality compensation as well as power flow control using of unified power quality conditioner," in Proc. APPEEC, 2011, pp. 1–4.

- [5] J. A. Munoz et al., "Design of a discrete-time linear control strategy for a multicell UPQC," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 59, no. 10, pp. 3797–3807, Oct. 2012.
- [6] V. Khadkikar and A. Chandra, "UPQC-S: A novel concept of simultaneous voltage sag/swell and load reactive power compensations utilizing series inverter of UPQC," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 26, no. 9, pp. 2414–2425, Sep. 2011.
- [7] V. Khadkikar, "Enhancing electric power quality using UPQC: A comprehensive overview," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 2284–2297, May 2012.
- [8] L. G. B. Rolim, "Custom power interfaces for renewable energy sources," in *Proc. IEEE ISIE*, 2007, pp. 2673–2678.
- [9] N. Voraphonpipit and S. Chatratana, "STATCOM analysis and controller design for power system voltage regulation," in *Proc. IEEE/PES Transmiss. Distrib. Conf. Exhib.—Asia Pac.*, 2005, pp. 1–6.
- [10] J. J. Sanchez-Gasca, N. W. Miller, E. V. Larsen, A. Edris, and D. A. Bradshaw, "Potential benefits of STATCOM application to improve generation station performance," in *Proc. IEEE/PES Transmiss. Distrib. Conf. Expo.*, 2001, vol. 2, pp. 1123–1128.
- [11] A. P. Jayam, N. K. Ardesna, and B. H. Chowdhury, "Application of STATCOM for improved reliability of power grid containing a wind turbine," in *Proc. IEEE Power Energy Soc. Gen. Meet.—Convers. Del. Elect. Energy 21<sup>st</sup> Century*, 2008, pp. 1–7.
- [12] K. Karanki, G. Geddada, M. K. Mislira, and B. K. Kumar, "A modified three-phase four-wire UPQC topology with reduced 1. DC-link voltage rating," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, Vol. 60, No. 9, pp. 3555–3566, Sep. 2013.
- [13] K. H. Kwan, P. L. So, and Y. C. Chu, "Ail output regulation-based unified power quality conditioner with Kalman filters," 2. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, Vol. 59, No. 11, pp. 4248–4262, Nov. 2012.
- [14] V. Kliadkikar, "Enhancing electric power quality using UPQC: A comprehensive overview," 3. *IEEE Trans. Powder Electron.*, Vol. 27, No. 5, pp. 2284–2297, May 2012.
- [15] N. Voraphonpipit and S. Chatratana, "STATCOM analysis and controller design for power system voltage regulation," 4. in *Proc. IEEE/PES Transmiss. Distrib. Conf. Exhib.—Asia Pac.*, 2005, pp. 1–6.
- [16] A. P. Jayam, N. K. Ardesna, and B. H. Chowdhury, "Application of STATCOM for improved reliability of power grid 5. containing a wind turbine," in *Proc. IEEE Power Energy Soc. Gen. Meet.—Convers. Del. Elect. Energy 21<sup>st</sup> Century*, 2008, pp. 1–7.
- [17] M. Aredes and R. M. Fernandes, "A dual topology of unified power quality conditioner: The iUPQC," in 6. *Proc. EPE Conf. Appl.*, 2009, pp. 1–10.
- [18] B. W. Franca and M. Aredes, "Comparisons between the UPQC and its dual topology (iUPQC) in dynamic response and steady-state," in *Proc. 37th IEEE IECON*, 2011, pp. 1232–1237.
- [19] B. W. Franca, L. G. B. Rolim, and M. Aredes, "Frequency switching analysis of an iUPQC with hardware-in-the-loop development tool," in *Proc. 14th EPE Conf. Appl.*, 2011, pp. 1–6.
- [20] B. W. Franca, L. F. da Silva, and M. Aredes, "Comparison between alpha-beta and DQ-PI controller applied to iUPQC operation," in *Proc. COBEP*, 2011, pp. 306–311.
- [21] R. J. Millnitz dos Santos, M. Mezaroiba, and J. C. da Cunha, "A dual unified power quality conditioner using a simplified control technique," in *Proc. COBEP*, 2011, pp. 486–493.
- [22] Y. Tang et al., "Generalized design of high performance shunt active power filter with output LCL filter," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 1443–1452, Mar. 2012.
- [23] H. Akagi, E. Watanabe, and M. Aredes, *Instantaneous Power Theory and Applications to Power Conditioning*. New York, NY, USA: Wiley-IEEE Press, 2007.
- [24] J. M. Guerrero, P. C. Loh, T.-L. Lee, and M. Chandorkar, "Advanced control architectures for intelligent microgrids—Part II: Power quality, energy storage, and AC/DC microgrids," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 60, no. 4, pp. 1263–1270, Apr. 2013.
- [25] S. R. Bowes and S. Grewal, "Novel harmonic elimination PWM control strategies for three-phase PWM inverters using space vector techniques," *Proc. Inst. Elect. Eng.—Elect. Power Appl.*, vol. 146, no. 5, pp. 495–514, Sep. 1999.